

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/815,215

Applicant(s)

DOAN ET AL.

Examiner

PELING A. SHAW

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PELING A. SHAW.

(3) _____

(2) LaRhonda Jefferson-Mills (reg. no. 61,649).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Request for First Action Interview - Agenda.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ayan (US 6769002 B2) and Beyda (US 20030229670 A1) and Marsot et al. (US 7010790 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposes further amendment to narrow the claim language. Examiner will perform further search and examination upon the amendment for patentability determination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.